

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,067	03/23/2004	Roma Vazirani	MSP5011USNP	2643
23122 RATNERPRES	7590 09/07/200 STIA	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 980	GD: D4 10402 0000	WONG, LESLIE A		
VALLEY FOR	GE, PA 19482-0980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			1761	
			. MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•		·	09/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/807,067	VAZIRANI, ROMA		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Leslie Wong	1761		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become	AICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under A 	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal ma			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to a period of the application and according to a period of the application according to a period of the application and according to a period of the application according to a period of t	or election requirement.	o by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ction is required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application 		

Application/Control Number: 10/807,067

eArt Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Applicant does not teach what is meant by "essentially solid" as the use of this phrase does not clearly set forth limits on the claims.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-31 are indefinite as to the use of "essentially solid" as the use of this phrase does not clearly set forth limits on the claims.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 1761

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Goodacre et al (EP0334617) for the reasons set forth in rejecting the claims in the last office action. The amendments to the claims are not seen to influence the conclusion of unpatentability previously set forth.

Goodacre et al teach a sweetener composition comprising agglomerating hollow spheroids or part spheroids of microcrystalline sucrose with sucralose and a binder (i.e. sucrose) as is claimed (see entire document, especially page 2, lines 3-5; page 3, lines 9, 17-21, 30-34, 60, and 62; Examples 2, 4, and 10, and; Claims 1, 11-14, 18, and 19).

The claimed Hunter values would be no more than inherent to Goodacre et al as the same components and process steps are used.

Applicant's arguments filed August 21, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the prior art does not teach an essentially solid core.

Goodacre et al teach a sweetener composition comprising agglomerating hollow spheroids or part spheroids of microcrystalline sucrose, generally bound to crystals of sucrose, with sucralose and a binder (see abstract). It is not seen how the claimed "essentially solid core" differs from the sucrose crystals of Goodacre et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,067 Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on 571-272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sessie WMG Leslie Wong

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761

LAW August 30, 2007